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AMERICAN EMPLOYMENT-BASED IMMIGRATION PROGRAM IN A COMPETITIVE GLOBAL MARKETPLACE: NEED FOR REFORM

Paschal O. Nwokocha†

I. INTRODUCTION

American immigration laws for skilled and unskilled workers were created in an era defined by closed borders, limited flow of capital and labor, and limited competition for highly skilled professionals. Those circumstances have since changed, and American immigration laws should adjust if the United States is to remain competitive in the global economy. Part two of this article offers a historical summary of American employment-based immigration laws. Part three contains a survey of the immigration laws of three other countries—showing how these countries have

† The author is a 1996 cum laude graduate of William Mitchell College of Law. He has served as Minnesota assistant attorney general. He is now an immigration attorney based out of Minneapolis, Minnesota, and currently serves as the chair of Minnesota/Dakotas Chapter of American Immigration Lawyers Association. Special thanks to attorney Obi E. Chukwu and Jitla Arner-Meyerhoff for their research assistance and effort on this article.
changed their laws to adapt to the evolving global economy. Part four discusses the evolving global free market for labor, and part five discusses the specific impact of immigration on the United States and the need for the United States to respond the way other countries have. Finally, part six concludes that an American immigration regime that places arbitrary numerical limits on the number of employment-based visas has no place in today’s world, and, therefore, it should be eliminated to maintain the country’s competitive edge in the global economy.

II. EMPLOYMENT-BASED IMMIGRATION: A BRIEF HISTORICAL ANALYSIS

A. Legislation Prior to 1952

During the United States’ first century, the entry of foreign nationals into the country was practically unrestricted.\(^1\) Those entering included foreign nationals seeking religious freedom or fortune, merchants and landowners, and slaves forced to enter primarily for labor.\(^2\) An open door policy towards foreigners was promoted except for limited legislative restrictions like the Alien Act of June 25, 1798,\(^3\) which allowed the President to deport aliens who were found to be “dangerous.”\(^4\)

In the 1850s, the first anti-immigrant movements formed in opposition to Irish and German Catholic immigration.\(^5\) Prior to the official end of the slave trade, many foreign nationals emigrated because of increasing job availability despite the likely exploitation of these workers for “low wages, long hours, and poor working conditions.”\(^6\) The prospect of opportunity outweighed the gloomy reality of untenable working conditions.\(^7\) The Treasury Department had control over immigration enforcement duties and had the authority to exclude “undesirables” such as “idiots, lunatics, convicts and persons likely to become public charges.”\(^8\)

\(^1\) Austin T. Fragomen, Jr. et al., Immigration Law & Business § 1:2 (2008) [hereinafter Fragomen, Immigration].
\(^2\) Id.
\(^3\) Act of June 25, 1798, ch. 58, 1 Stat. 570.
\(^4\) Id.
\(^5\) See Fragomen, Immigration, supra note 1, § 1:2.
\(^6\) Id.
\(^7\) Id.
\(^8\) Id.
The Immigration Act of February 19, 1862, prohibited the importation of slave laborers from China and, specifically, the transportation of Chinese “coolies” on American vessels. Immigration laws of the late nineteenth century primarily legislated against contract labor; however, the Act of July 4, 1864, was passed to encourage immigration. It created a Commissioner of Immigration appointed by the President to serve under the Secretary of State and authorized immigrant labor contracts whereby would-be immigrants would pledge their wages to repay the expense of their transportation.

Codification of immigration law came with the Act of March 3, 1891, which enacted penalties relating to immigration, established the office of Superintendent of Immigration, provided for inspection of immigrants on arrival in the United States, and specified for the return of “unlawful immigrants.” One of the first immigration laws to touch on skilled labor was the Act of March 3, 1903. Among other things, this Act provided that skilled labor may be imported “if labor of like kind unemployed can not [sic] be found in this country.” In 1903, the Department of Commerce and Labor also began overseeing immigration. The Act of May 19, 1921, created the first quantitative restrictions, allocating an annual quota per nationality of three percent of its foreign-born nationals residing in the United States in 1910. For example, it resulted in an annual limit of 350,000 immigrants from the Eastern Hemisphere. Between 1860 and 1920, over 28.5 million immigrants entered the United States.

Between 1942 and 1947, in response to the domestic labor shortage during World War II, the United States negotiated several formal agreements to regulate the flow of labor from Mexico, Canada, the Bahamas, Jamaica, and the British West Indies.
These were later absorbed into the H2 temporary worker program under the 1952 Immigration and Nationality Act.\(^{21}\)

**B. Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952**

The Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952 drastically overhauled U.S. immigration policy.\(^{22}\) It increased the number of quotas, made immigration easier for those immigrants with certain skills or family, and expanded the grounds for deportation.\(^{23}\) Prior to 1965, unions and labor organizations, attempting to support the interests of their members, often exerted pressure to protect the U.S. labor market.\(^{24}\) The 1965 amendments, however, eliminated the quota system codified in the Immigration and Nationality Act but maintained the numerical restrictions with entry limits based on region.\(^{25}\) These amendments also added a requirement that the Secretary of Labor certify that an alien, upon the issuance of a visa, will not displace a U.S. worker.\(^{26}\) Subsequently, foreign nationals with professional qualifications who sought jobs for which U.S. citizens were unavailable to perform, were required to obtain a “labor certification” from the Secretary of Labor.\(^{27}\) Congress also enacted more legislation affecting employers’ ability to hire or to

---


\(^{23}\) Id.; see also RICHARD D. STEEL, STEEL ON IMMIGRATION LAW § 1:2 (2d ed. 1992).


\(^{26}\) Id.

continue to employ authorized or unauthorized foreign nationals.28

C. The Immigration Reform Control Act of 1986 and Related Legislation

In 1986, Congress passed the Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986 (IRCA).29 Part of its provisions made it illegal for any employer to knowingly hire, recruit, or refer for a fee a foreign national who is not authorized to work in the United States, and the IRCA also outlawed continuing to employ workers after discovering they are unauthorized.30 The IRCA further provided for both temporary agricultural workers and for legalization of certain agricultural workers.31 In 1989, Congress enacted the Immigration Nursing Relief Act of 1989, which eased the ability of some registered nurses to obtain permanent resident status and added additional requirements for temporary worker H-1 nurse petitions.32

Immigration Act of 1990

The Immigration Act of 1990 (the 1990 Act)33 was the most comprehensive revision of U.S. immigration laws after the Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952.34 Among other things, the 1990 Act more than doubled the number of immigrant visas available yearly for employment-based immigration.35 Additionally, "the categories of immigrants who can immigrate to the United States based on employment skills were dramatically revised, with emphasis placed on [foreign nationals] in professional fields and fields requiring advanced education or skills."36 The 1990 Act also created diversity immigration in which immigrant visas are awarded annually to foreign nationals “selected at random from all eligible

28. See FRAGOMEN, IMMIGRATION, supra note 1, § 1:5.
30. Id. § 324 (codified as amended at 8 U.S.C. § 1324(a) (2006)).
31. See FRAGOMEN, IMMIGRATION, supra note 1, § 1:7.
34. FRAGOMEN, IMMIGRATION, supra note 1, § 1:8.
35. Id.
36. Id. (emphasis added).
applicants, who are natives of countries statistically underrepresented in the recent waves of immigration.”

The Immigration Act of 1990 “divided the preference categories into two groups (family-based and employment-based) and expanded the number of employment-based categories from two to three classifications. Those three classifications were further divided into subcategories dealing with specific groups of immigrant workers.” The 1990 Act created five categories of employment-based immigrant visas. Visa preferences one through five classified employment-based visas on types of skill the alien had. The table below describes the five employment-based immigration categories with details about what each category represents and the available visas as of October 2008.

### Employment-Based Immigration Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Minimum Annual Visas Available</th>
<th>Priority Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EB1 Priority Workers</td>
<td>40,040</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB2 Advanced Degree</td>
<td>40,040</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB3 Skilled Workers</td>
<td>30,040</td>
<td>1/1/2005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB3 Other Workers</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>1/1/2003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB4 Special Immigrants</td>
<td>9,940</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB5 Employment Creation</td>
<td>9,940</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* As of October 2008, the immigration service is issuing visas for those whose applications were filed by these dates for applicants from all countries except Mexico, China, India, and the Philippines.

37. Id.
40. Id.
Any “unused visas within the employment-based preference categories may “spill down” to successive categories with unused visas saved for use in future fiscal years when the demand for employment-based visas exceeds the overall cap for that year.”\textsuperscript{42} Although rare, any unused visas not used in the family-sponsored categories in a particular year are made available to foreign nationals in the employment-based categories the next year.\textsuperscript{43} The low limits depicted on the above table illustrate just how challenging it is to qualify under the strict numerical maximums for foreign nationals seeking to work in the United States.

\textit{The H-1B Visa}

The 1990 Act amended the immigration laws to increase the admission of foreign skilled workers to the United States.\textsuperscript{44} It restructured the existing “H” visa category to provide for a number of categories under which needed workers could be admitted to the United States on a temporary basis.\textsuperscript{45} It further created the H-1B provision primarily to admit foreign workers to the United States to work in a “specialty occupation”\textsuperscript{46} on a temporary basis.\textsuperscript{47}

The 1990 Act capped the number of H-1B visas at 65,000 annually and “limited the validity of the . . . visas to three years . . . renewable for an additional three-year period.”\textsuperscript{48} In 1997, H-1B

\textsuperscript{42} Fragomen Global, \textit{supra} note 39, § 18:50.
\textsuperscript{43} Id.
\textsuperscript{45} Id. § 205, 104 Stat. at 5019–22.
\textsuperscript{46} According to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii)(B) (1995), a specialty occupation is defined under the statute as:
\begin{quote}
an occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor’s degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.
\end{quote}

admissions reached this annual cap before the end of the fiscal year for the first time.49 “The oversubscription . . . forced the INS to announce . . . ‘the formation of a waiting list because approved workers would be ineligible to enter until the . . . following fiscal year.’”50 In 1998, the H-1B admissions reached the cap in May, one month after the first application date.51 This resulted in shortages of skilled information technology professionals forcing the high-tech industry to lobby Congress for a raise in the annual cap of H-1Bs.52

In October 1998, Congress passed the American Competitive and Workforce Improvement Act of 1998 (ACWIA). The ACWIA increased the annual cap of H-1Bs from 65,000 to 115,000 in both 1999 and 2000 and to 107,500 in 2001, before reverting back to 65,000 in 2002.53 For fiscal year 2001, the visas were again exhausted early in the fiscal year. On October 17, 2000, President Clinton signed into law the American Competitiveness in the Twenty-First Century Act of 2000 (AC-21), which increased the number of H-1B visas to 195,000 for each of the next three years, beginning in the fiscal year of October 1, 2000.54 The cap returned to 65,000 in October 2003 for fiscal year 2004.55

Other key provisions of the AC-21 include: exemption of certain aliens from the H-1B numerical limit, i.e. those employed at institutions of higher learning, governmental and nonprofit research entities; allowing one-year extensions of an H-1B stay if a permanent resident adjudication lasts longer than 365 days; and providing employment-based visas without regard to per-country limitation if unused visas are available; increasing the low income scholarship amounts for mathematics, engineering, or computer studies while reducing the National Science Foundation’s costs for job training, engineering, mathematics, or science courses.56

49. Id. at 1683.
50. Id.
51. Id.
52. Id.
53. Id. at 1676.
In fiscal year 2004, the H-1B visa numbers reverted to the 1990 level of 65,000.57 In the meantime, the demand for H-1B visas had not diminished.58 The continued growth of jobs in the technology and high skill industries has necessitated a demand for more H-1B visas. Very minor changes have been made to deal with this obvious shortage. For example, the government allocated an extra 20,000 H1-B visas to foreign nationals who graduated with a masters degree or higher from a U.S. university.59

Each year, applications are accepted from April 1 for the employment start date of October 1.60 Thus, for the fiscal year 2009, applications were received beginning April 2008. On April 10, 2008, ten days into the application window, the Citizenship and Immigration Service announced that it had received about 163,000 applications for the 85,000 available visas for the 2009 fiscal year.61 In what has turned into a lottery system, those whose applications are received on those days are randomly selected to receive approvable visas.62 This does not include the numerous other employers that would rather not submit applications because of the obvious unpredictability of the system.63 The following chart shows the date that the Citizenship and Immigration Service reached the cap for each year. For the fiscal years 2005–07, the government stopped accepting further applications once the numerical cap was reached.64

57. WALLAH MEYERS, supra note 55, at 5.
58. See, e.g., id. at 6 (stating that the H-1B visa cap for fiscal 2006 was reached in August 2005).
63. Id.
64. Id.
H-1B Application History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Visa Cap</th>
<th>Date Cap Reached</th>
<th>Applications Received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>10/1/2004*</td>
<td>65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>8/10/2005*</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>5/26/2006*</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>4/3/2007</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>4/10/2008</td>
<td>163,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The immigration service stopped accepting H-1B petitions on these dates because it had received enough application for the available visas.65

In 2007, the United States granted a significant plurality of the 461,730 total of temporary worker H-1B “specialty occupation” visas to people from India (34.1 percent or 157,613).66 After India, the next five countries in terms of H-1B visas were Canada (5.7 percent or 26,209), the United Kingdom (5.5 percent or 25,507), Mexico (3.9 percent or 18,165), China (3.6 percent or 16,628), and Japan (3.1 percent or 14,435).67 Together, these six countries accounted for 56.0 percent (or 258,557) of all H-1B admissions in 2007.68

All together, Asian countries made up 52.5 percent of all 2007 H-1B admissions, followed by the Americas (22.7 percent), Europe (21.4 percent), Africa (1.8 percent), and Oceania (1.3 percent).69 In 2004, two-thirds of H1-B admissions were from Europe and Asia, particularly the United Kingdom and India.70 To file an H-1B application, the petitioner must obtain a certification from the Department of Labor (DOL) that he has filed a labor condition application in the occupational specialty for which the foreign worker will be employed.71 The petitioner and

65.  Id. at 15391 n.4.
67.  Id.
68.  Id.
69.  Id. at Temporary Admissions (Nonimmigrants), Tbl. 32 (2008).
70.  WALLAHT MEYERS, supra note 55, at 5.
71.  8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(i)(B)(1)–(2) (2008); see also 8 U.S.C §§ 1184, 1188.
beneficiary must meet certain requirements and comply with specific provisions of the immigration regulations for the petition to be approved.\textsuperscript{72} The requirements also give only the director of the DOL the power to determine if the application involves a specialty occupation and to determine whether the specific foreign worker for whom the H-1B visa is sought is eligible to work in that specialty occupation.\textsuperscript{75} The petitioner must pay between $820 and $3,320 in government fees depending on the size and type of employer involved.\textsuperscript{74}

Multiple other types of temporary employment-based visas exist. These visa categories include E,\textsuperscript{75} H-2A,\textsuperscript{76} H-2B,\textsuperscript{77} H-3,\textsuperscript{78} L,\textsuperscript{79} O,\textsuperscript{80} P,\textsuperscript{81} Q,\textsuperscript{82} R,\textsuperscript{83} and TN/NAFTA visas.\textsuperscript{84} They represent a
patchwork response to economic and business needs. The H-1B remains the most used visa category for highly skilled workers.

**Employment-Based Temporary Visas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visa Name</th>
<th>Length of Stay &amp; Extensions</th>
<th>Numerical Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E Treaty Trader</td>
<td>2 years initially &amp; unlimited 2-year extensions</td>
<td>None (E-1/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10,500 (E-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-1B Specialty Occupation</td>
<td>3 years initially &amp; one 3-year extension*</td>
<td>65,000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-2A Temporary Agricultural Workers</td>
<td>1 year only***</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-2B Non-agricultural Temporary Workers</td>
<td>1 year only</td>
<td>66,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Intracompany Transferees</td>
<td>3 years initially &amp; one (L-1B) or two (L-1A) 2-year extensions</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O Extraordinary Ability</td>
<td>3 years initially &amp; unlimited 1 year extensions</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Athletes &amp; Entertainers</td>
<td>1 year (groups &amp; teams) or 5 years (individuals)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q Cultural Exchange</td>
<td>15 months (Q-1) or 3 years (Q-2/3)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Religious Worker</td>
<td>3 years initially &amp; one 2-year extension</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN Professionals from Canada &amp; Mexico</td>
<td>1 year initially &amp; unlimited extensions****</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Also extendable in 1 yr. increments while application for permanent resident is pending.

**20,000 additional H-1B visas available applicants with advanced degrees from US colleges and universities.

***Workers may return an unlimited number of times on a new H-2A visa in subsequent years.

*****Effective Oct. 15, 2008, TN visas are good for 3 years at a time, with unlimited extensions.


84. Created in 1994 as part of the North American Free Trade Agreement, it allows professionals in one of sixty-three occupational categories from Canada and Mexico to work in the United States for one year at a time with unlimited extensions. See 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(b)(4)(i) (2008).
III. SURVEY OF EMPLOYMENT-BASED IMMIGRATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES

Three other countries that have high immigration rates and widely emulated immigration systems are Canada, Australia, and the United Kingdom. When assessing American employment-based immigration laws, it is constructive to examine these nations’ regulations on employment-based immigration. By evaluating these systems, not only does one learn what the United States is competing against in the global labor market, but one can also draw lessons from their strong points and shortcomings to help the United States enact forward-looking employment-based immigration policies.

United Kingdom

The United Kingdom Border Agency states that “[its] Immigration Act 1971 is the foundation of the current legal framework, although that Act was passed in a very different world. Subsequent Acts have provided vital additions and strengthening measures to respond to changes over the last thirty years.” The last of these acts was the UK Borders Act 2007, which introduced a new points-based immigration system. “The points-based system is the biggest shake-up of the immigration system for 45 years,” and it “allows British businesses to recruit the skills they need from abroad . . . .” This transition to a points-based system is occurring in stages, as old employment-based categories are phased out and replaced with five point-based tiers between June 2008 and November 2008. Applicants score points based on their attributes, including age, education, qualifications, previous earnings, and experience in the United Kingdom; their English

87. Id.
88. Id.; see also UK Borders Act, 2007, c.30.
language abilities; and their funds available for fiscal self-maintenance. Further revision and guidance of these attributes are ongoing and have not yet been finalized. Thus, evaluations of this new system’s effectiveness, efficiency, and overall success in meeting the United Kingdom’s contemporary immigration needs can only be made in the future.

Tier one areas of employment are for individuals who contribute to economic growth and productivity. Specifically, this includes highly skilled workers, investors, entrepreneurs, and post-study graduates. This category does not require an individual to be sponsored by a petitioning employer nor have a pre-existing job offer. Post-study graduates may look for work and be employed without a sponsor for two years following their graduation from a British university. This program retains able, educated graduates of British universities in the United Kingdom and contributes to attracting international students to the United Kingdom as well.

Tier two, the category for skilled workers, is intended to fill gaps in the British labor force. Generally, there are three main qualifications: a job offer, a certificate of sponsorship from a licensed sponsor, and a passing number of points. There are two subcategories of tier two reserved for religious leaders and athletes who are internationally established at the highest level.

Tier three was established for a limited number of low-skilled workers needed to fill specific and temporary labor shortages. The United Kingdom publishes a list of the specific occupations that qualify.

Tier four is designed for students.

91. What is the Points-Based System?, supra note 89.
92. Id.
93. Id.
94. Id.
95. Id.
98. Timetable for Launch, supra note 90.
99. What is the Points-Based System?, supra note 89.
101. Timetable for Launch, supra note 90.
102. See What is the Points-Based System?, supra note 89.
103. Id.
have permission to enter the United Kingdom and will be studying there for a minimum of six months may also work there. 104 Tier five is composed of other temporary workers allowed into the United Kingdom for primarily noneconomic objectives. 105 This includes the youth mobility scheme, which allows young British Commonwealth Citizens to temporarily live and work in the United Kingdom. 106 Tier five is also divided into five subcategories: creative and sporting, charity workers, religious workers, government authorized exchange, and international agreements. 107

Tier three and tier five are temporary routes, and migrants in those tiers will not be able to switch out once they are in the United Kingdom. 108 These applicants are expected to comply with the terms of their stay and to leave the United Kingdom when their authorized stay expires. 109 “Those in tiers [one], [two] and [four] will be eligible to switch between these tiers subject to meeting the requirements of the tier they want to switch to.” 110 Tier one and tier two will potentially lead to permanent residency provided that the requirements are satisfied at the time of the application.

The points based system, however, is designed for migrants from outside the European Union (EU). 112 As a member of the European Economic Area (EEA), the United Kingdom allows all EEA and Swiss nationals to enter, stay, and work in the country without applying for permission; however, it does not extend this privilege to nationals of Bulgaria and Romania, who are recent EU members. 113

105. Timetable for Launch, supra note 90.
106. Id.
107. Laura Devine, Is the Newly Skilled Migrant Programme “Fit for Purpose”? If No, the Government’s Proposed Points Based Immigration System is Fundamentally Flawed, 21 J. IMMIGR. ASYLUM & NATIONALITY L. 90, 103 (2007).
109. Id.
110. Id.
111. Id.
112. See What is the Points-Based System?, supra note 89.
113. See UK Border Agency, Bulgarian and Romanian Nationals, http://www.ukba.homeoffice.gov.uk/eucitizens/bulgarianandromaniannationals/ (last visited Nov. 6, 2008).
The foremost criticism of the United Kingdom’s new points-based system asserts that this system allows entrance only for the highest skilled and best paid individuals and fails to provide for the possibility of low-skilled migration from outside of the EEA.\footnote{RSA MIGRATION COMM’N, MIGRATION: A WELCOME OPPORTUNITY 6 (2005), http://www.migrationcommission.org/pdf/RSA_migration_report_owo.pdf.} Furthermore, the system demands proof of qualifications, thereby excluding some professions that require rare but largely undocumented skills.\footnote{Of Stable Lads and Ballet Dancers, ECONOMIST.COM, May 8, 2008, http://www.economist.com/world/britain/displaystory.cfm?story_id=11332497.} According to a recent study, fewer than forty percent of current migrant workers will be part of it.\footnote{Guarding British Soil, ECONOMIST, Jan. 5, 2008, at 47, 47, available at http://www.economist.com/world/britain/displaystory.cfm?story_id=10442987.}

Of those that are covered, highly-qualified young people on big salaries will have the easiest passage, being allowed in even without a job offer; medium-skilled workers will have to hunt around more carefully for points, unless their profession is deemed particularly in demand by a new council of economists. Low-skilled workers assigned to a third tier . . . will be shut out, for the time being at least.\footnote{Id. at 48.}

Still, low-skilled workers in the third tier may be allowed in later if there is a sudden need for such workers.\footnote{Ministers will be able to move points thresholds up and down according to what they, advised by assorted wise men, think is in the national interest.”.}

Yet, as painfully restrictive as the British system may be, it brings precisely those migrants from whom the United Kingdom can receive the greatest economic benefits. The points-based system currently does not have any annual quotas for the number of visas given to foreign nationals, though this provision is currently under debate.\footnote{See UK Border Agency, Strict New Jobs List for Migrant Workers, http://www.ukba.homeoffice.gov.uk/sitecontent/newsarticles/macshortagelists (last visited Nov. 6, 2008) (“Crucially, the points system means the only the migrants with the skills Britain needs can come - and no more. Unlike made-up quotas, this stops Government cutting business off from the skills it needs when they need them.”) (quoting Border & Immigration Minister Liam Byrne).}

This means that the number of skilled workers allowed to join the British workforce is not limited. Finally, the points-based system is a simplification replacing eighty previous work-permit-and-entry schemes that “developed in a piecemeal fashion over decades, sometimes reflecting economic need . . . and
sometimes reflecting the political mood."

Canada

Canada is considered to have one of the most forward-looking immigration policies for skilled workers in the world. Canada operates a points-based immigration system, rewarding education and advanced skills to import foreign workers. Points are assessed on six selection factors: education, ability in English or French, experience, age, arranged employment, and adaptability. The primary statute for Canadian immigration law is the 2002 Immigration and Refugee Protection Act (IRPA), which largely replaced the former Immigration Act of 1976. In 2007, 236,800 immigrants entered Canada, mostly classified as economic immigrants, which accounted for approximately two-thirds of the country’s annual population growth.

Seven primary categories of temporary, employment-based immigration in Canada exist. Those seven programs are intercompany transfer, software worker, NAFTA professional, reciprocal employment exchange, labor market opinion (or labor certification), postgraduate employment, and spouse of skilled worker. Temporary work permits for applicants in these categories are issued at a port of entry. For certain categories and nationalities, an applicant initially may have to acquire a letter of authorization or a pre-approval letter at a visa office before appearing at a Canadian port of entry. Employees on temporary work permits are limited to the specifications that are on their work permits. If their position or title changes, or if they are required

123. Id.
124. See Immigration and Refugee Protection Act, 2001 S.C., ch. 27 (Can.).
127. Id.
128. Id.
129. Id.
130. Id. at 24–25.
to switch to a different location, the petition must be amended prior to the change. If they take a job with a different employer, they must apply for a new work permit. Depending on the category, temporary work permits may be issued for a period of three years, but most are limited to one year increments with the potential for renewal. The processing time for a temporary work permit where the applicant has pre-approval is usually as short as three weeks. Approximately three additional weeks are needed if a medical evaluation is required, and three more weeks of processing are required for a labor certification.

The most common method for work permit holders to obtain permanent residence in Canada is through the independent skilled worker category. Provided the applicant is in Canada, has a valid work permit, possesses at least a post-secondary education, and does not have a serious medical condition or a criminal record, then a permanent residency application should be approved. American, Mexican, and Chilean citizens particularly benefit under Canadian immigration law through sections of the North American Free Trade Agreement and the Canada-Chile Free Trade Agreement.

The Canadian employment-based immigration system shares the same costs and benefits as the British structure. It is a simplified system without annual quotas that helps to provide the country with highly skilled workers, yet it limits the immigration options of lower-skilled workers. It also offers unlimited renewals of most temporary employment-based visas.

131. Id.
132. Id. at 25.
133. Id.
134. Id.
135. Id.
136. Id.
137. Id.
138. Id.
140. See AM. IMMIGRATION LAWYERS ASS’N, supra note 126, at 25.
Australia

Australia’s points-based immigration system has served as a model for many nations.141 Following World War II, Australia created its Department of Immigration and Citizenship, launched a massive immigration program, and passed what is still the basis for its immigration laws—the Migration Act 1958.142 Some 6.8 million people have migrated to Australia since 1945.143 This represents a significant proportion of the overall population increase experienced by Australia within that time, having gone from nearly seven million in 1945 to over twenty-one million today.144 The present Australian immigration model is largely found in the Migration Regulations 1994.145

The majority of immigrants entering Australia (133,500 or 70 percent) are skilled workers.146 Yet, Australia still faces a skills shortage.147 As of 2008, its booming economy is in its seventeenth year of uninterrupted growth with unemployment at a thirty year low, and Australia is working to invest in skills and intellectual infrastructure to meet this demand.148 The Immigration Minister has stated that Australia’s old approach to immigration “was designed for a world in which people did not move much.”149 He has argued that Australia now needs a program suited to a global age in which people migrate frequently for work.150 Further, he has also expressed his belief that Australia’s economy cannot continue


144. Id.


147. Id.

148. Id. at 47–48.

149. Id. at 48.

150. Id.
In 2008, Australia addressed that need, announcing revisions to the immigration program that are predicted to bring the largest yearly increase since the immigration program was launched. Numerous categories of visas exist for foreign nationals entering Australia for temporary business or employment reasons. The three most common visa categories include subclass 456, subclass 457, and Business Electronic Travel Authorization (Business ETA). These three categories allow an individual to enter Australia for business or employment purposes, but they differ in the amount of authorized time the individual may stay in Australia and the type of employment undertaken.

Both the subclass 456 and the Business ETA enable the applicant to perform highly skilled labor that is related to their employment outside Australia and that cannot be carried out by an Australian citizen or permanent resident. For example, this could be an employee coming to support a short-term project for an affiliate company in Australia, but not an appointment to a permanent position in Australia. The subclass 456 and the Business ETA are both valid for up to three months permitting multiple entries during the life of an individual’s passport. The procedure for securing a Business ETA is simple; if the applicant holds an eligible passport (there is a list of thirty-two eligible countries) he may apply when he makes his flight reservation, or
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later online.\textsuperscript{159} If the applicant is not a national of one of the thirty-two eligible countries, the person will apply for a subclass 456 visa by filing a petition with an Australian embassy or consulate.\textsuperscript{160} Provided the applicant meets the criteria, both visas are approved and processed within one month of filing.

Conversely, the subclass 457 visa allows an employee to be appointed to a formal position in Australia and is valid for stays between three months and four years.\textsuperscript{162} This visa allows Australian employers to recruit highly skilled employees that will be essential to the overall operation of the company.\textsuperscript{163} The procedure for obtaining a subclass 457 is threefold: first, approval as a business sponsor; second, nomination of the position; and third, individual visa application.\textsuperscript{164} This process may be undertaken both within Australia and at an Australian consulate or embassy abroad.\textsuperscript{165} The position the subclass 457 applicant will engage in must be an occupation requiring professional, paraprofessional, or trade qualifications or experience; and there are minimum salary regulations for the position being offered.\textsuperscript{166} An established company in Australia can apply to be a standard business sponsor—which is valid for two years—and receive a number of pre-approved


\textsuperscript{162} See Crock, supra note 154, at 141–42.

\textsuperscript{163} Id.

\textsuperscript{164} Id.


sponsor positions.\textsuperscript{167} It takes between four weeks and three months for most subclass 457 visas to be approved.\textsuperscript{168}

The Employer Nominated Scheme (ENS) is the most widely used means for skilled international workers in Australia to gain permanent residency.\textsuperscript{169} This category has several requirements: there must be an employer sponsor, the employee being sponsored has to be highly skilled, and the sponsor must demonstrate that there are no Australian citizens suitable for the job being offered to an international skilled worker.\textsuperscript{170} Independent executives who can demonstrate that they have started their own successful business in Australia may also be eligible to apply for permanent residency.\textsuperscript{171}

Among the strengths of Australia’s immigration system are its rapid visa processing, employee flexibility through multiple entry visas, and malleability to Australia’s current economic needs.

IV. CONTEMPORARY GLOBAL ECONOMY

Today, individuals, corporations, and states operate in a distinctly unique economy compared to that experienced in past decades. Goods, capital, labor, and ideas circulate through increasingly permeable national boundaries at increasing volume and speed. Their scope, as well as their nature, is truly global. The contemporary global economy can be defined as the rapid and extensive transnational movement of capital, money, goods, services, people, ideas, and technology to achieve productivity in the integrated worldwide market.\textsuperscript{172}

The transfusion of ideas and the movements of people and goods around the globe have been around for centuries and are certainly not new phenomena.\textsuperscript{173} What characterizes our current
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era of the global economy, however, is distinctly novel.

It is not merely the speed and volume of transnational activity that have changed profoundly, but also the nature of such activity. International trade in natural resources has been eclipsed by movements of intangible assets, intellectual capital, and manufactured goods that owe their existence to tightly integrated multinational supply and production chains. The advent of globally integrated production, triggered by the lowering of trade and investment barriers, is said to mark the evolution of the ‘multinational corporation’ . . . into the ‘globally integrated enterprise. . . .’ markedly, “[i]nternational capital flows now exceed one trillion dollars a day.” And the amount traded on modern currency markets each day equals approximately four trillion dollars, which is greater than the annual gross domestic product of the United States. The propagation of ideas and technology circulate nearly as rapidly. “The membrane of the nation-state is becoming increasingly permeable: not only are governments lowering the barriers to transnational exchange, but their capacity to enforce those barriers is continually undermined by advances in transportation, communication, miniaturization, and digitization technology.”

In the contemporary global economy, human capital is also increasingly mobile so both states and companies must now compete for talent in a worldwide market. The number of people who are currently living outside their country of birth has doubled in less than forty years and now totals up to 150 million people, or 2.5 percent of the world’s population. Breaking this number down, there exists a polarity such that most emigrants tend to be either skilled workers with the financial means to emigrate, or are facing desperate economic or social conditions which necessitate

---

174. Id. at 1296.
176. Id. at 1297 (citing Philip Bobbitt, The Shield of Achilles: War, Peace, and the Course of History 221 (2003)).
177. See id. at 1286–88 (describing how globalization has helped the spread of constitutional ideas).
178. Id. at 1286.
179. Id. at 1324.
Yet for all migrants, the vast majority move for economic incentives. Where economic growth is strong, migrant workers are drawn. This does not, however, only mean Western Europe and the United States, but holds true for most emerging markets such as India and China. The top six countries receiving immigrants are the United States, the United Kingdom, Spain, Canada, Australia, and the United Arab Emirates. The top six countries sending emigrants to the United States are Mexico, India, China, the Philippines, Colombia, and Haiti.

Though most migrant flows are between nearby countries, a recent survey by Manpower, a global employment services firm, shows that 36.9 percent of employees would consider moving anywhere in the world for work. Based on examples from previous migration movements, “countries stop sending large numbers of migrants once they get to a certain level of wealth.” “Based on the experience of countries like Spain, Portugal, Greece and South Korea, emigration usually slows when income per person approaches a threshold level in relation to income in the richer countries where the migrants are heading.” That change occurs when the ratio of incomes reaches about 1:4 or 1:5.

“[E]asier movement of capital and goods has helped to make the world a much richer place in the past decade or two, and more human mobility has both created wealth and helped to share it out more equally.” One of the reasons much of the world has seen a sustained economic boom with low rates of inflation over the past
decade is because the global labor market has expanded so quickly. The IMF reported that the labor market quadrupled since 1980 due in large part to China and India’s large, young populations more fully entering the global work force. It is likely to keep growing given the projection of a 40 percent increase in the world’s working-age population by 2050. Studies attempting to estimate possible world economic gains resulting from liberalizing immigration policies find that world real income would increase from 5.6–12.3 percent, or from $1.97–$4.33 trillion per year. The most conservative estimate still exceeds current amounts of international aid and foreign direct investment combined in less developed countries.

Moreover, these benefits are realized by all involved parties: the individual migrating, the sending country, and the receiving country. The advantages to the individual are most obvious: higher wages, career advancement, and perhaps better access to high-quality education and personal freedoms. The country they left also stands to benefit. Remittances to low income countries in 2006 totaled at least $240 billion, more than aid and foreign investment combined for some countries. It has been argued that shrinking work forces in sending countries inflate wages for the remaining laborers. The IMF states that “emigration from Belize, El Salvador, Guyana and Jamaica, for example, may have led to higher wages and less poverty.” Migrants who go abroad for employment often return to their home countries with greater
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knowledge, skills, and experience. Returning employees transfer technology and are a greater asset to their native country’s workforce and economy.

V. SPECIFIC EFFECT OF IMMIGRATION ON AMERICAN COMPETITIVENESS

Many indicators suggest that receiving countries, and in this case the United States, benefit currently from employment-based immigration and will continue to do so in the future. In 2006, immigrants made up 12.5 percent of the population, or 37.4 million people in the United States. In economic terms alone, the United States has measurably profited from employment-based immigration; a recent report produced by Goldman Sachs states that overall economic output slows as the American labor force grows more slowly, and that new migrants have added approximately 0.5 percent to American gross domestic product every year in the past decade. Skilled immigrants supplement an aging and shrinking American workforce; they are entrepreneurs who create jobs and wealth, consumers of goods and services, and skilled workers whose large numbers encourage capital business investment. Employment-based immigrants also pay taxes in the United States. A study by the National Research Council points out that migrants with more than a high school education generate a net fiscal benefit of $198,000 over their lifetime. Immigrant labor also helps keep the American economy stable because,
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during strong growth periods, immigrants lower “the risk of wage pressures and rising inflation.”\textsuperscript{205} If growth slows, migrants often choose to move home, to migrate to another country, or not to migrate initially.\textsuperscript{206}

Skeptics of the benefits of employment-based immigration argue that immigrants fill jobs that otherwise could have been occupied by native workers.\textsuperscript{207} This assertion may hold true for the least well off Americans, as income inequality has increased in the United States, while the real wages of the least skilled workers have decreased.\textsuperscript{208} Where immigrants do directly compete with native workers in low skill jobs, wages have been kept 3–8 percent lower.\textsuperscript{209} Yet overall low unemployment rates suggest that immigrants have not displaced native workers.\textsuperscript{210} Moreover, labor demand does not remain fixed when immigrants enter the economy,\textsuperscript{211} and the economic net gain should not be undervalued.

Immigrants to the United States have assisted the drive for innovation and entrepreneurship and have helped keep the United States at the forefront of industry.\textsuperscript{212} Greater numbers of skilled workers provide greater intellectual wealth, an immeasurably valuable resource for companies, universities, and research institutions to incite future developments in their fields.\textsuperscript{213} As a recent article in *The Economist* points out:

America has always thrived by attracting talent from the world. Some 70 or so of the 300 Americans who have won Nobel prizes since 1901 were immigrants. Great American companies such as Sun Microsystems, Intel and Google had immigrants among their founders. Immigrants continue to make an outsized contribution to the American economy. About a quarter of information technology (IT) firms in Silicon Valley were founded by Chinese and Indian immigrants. Some 40% of American PhDs in science and engineering go to immigrants. A similar proportion of all the patents filed in America are
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filed by foreigners.  

Employment-based immigrants to the United States can also alleviate specific labor shortages. The U.S. Labor Department projects that by 2014 there will be more than two million job openings in science, technology, and engineering, while the number of Americans graduating with degrees in those areas are plummeting. Supplementing this deficiency with skilled, educated, foreign-born employees will maintain American industry leadership in these fields.

All these benefits, however, are at risk because the United States is simply ill-equipped for today’s global labor market. The current employment-based immigration system fails to vigorously compete for human capital. Immigration policies that may have worked well in a world of relatively impermeable borders and immobile factors of production, particularly labor, are not only unsuitable for today’s world of porous borders and relentless mobility, but are a handicap. Evolving patterns of commerce, communication, and migration necessitate change in domestic immigration conceptions.

“None of these competitive advantages can be realized, however, if countries do not reform their immigration policies to facilitate the entry of skilled workers. No country can compete effectively for human capital in the global labor market until it first chooses to compete.” American policy “lacks focus and fails to exploit this country’s many advantages in the global competition for human talent.”

American businesses seek better avenues to compete in the global labor market. According to Sam Palmisano, the Chief Executive Officer of IBM, the “single most important challenge” facing today’s ‘globally integrated enterprises’ and ‘the
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consideration driving most business decisions today’ is the need to secure ‘a supply of high-value skills.’” In 2007, Microsoft chairman Bill Gates testified before a Senate committee “that the only way to solve the ‘critical shortage of scientific talent’ was to open up the country’s doors.” The United States has already seen some consequences of its failure to adjust to the new global market as businesses have moved offshore to attract skills and talent. One example is Washington-based Microsoft, which opened a software development center in Canada partly because Canada’s liberal immigration laws made it easier for them to recruit qualified workers from around the globe.

VI. CONCLUSION

In the past, skilled migrants had few options but to tolerate restrictive U.S. immigration policies. Many European countries had similarly rigid immigration restrictions and countries such as China and India were underdeveloped and had restrictive policies as well. Today, these countries are reforming their immigration policies and opening their borders while the United States appears to be closing its borders. Emerging markets with growing economies are now competing for skilled migrants, and as the surveys above demonstrate, traditional competitors such as Europe and Canada are re-working their immigration systems to attract global talent.

The United States is fortunate in that it already possesses most of the elements that attract both skilled migrants and the multinational companies that seek to hire them, namely, a dynamic economy, a world-class higher education system, a record of upholding human rights, and a transparent and stable political and social system. All of the elements but one, the immigration system, is in place to make the United States a top competitor for global talent. The immigration system has to be made resoundingly receptive to immigrants and their contributions.
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This survey and analysis has shown that a more progressive policy that attracts and retains skilled immigrants is imperative for the United States to remain competitive. This policy must meet three basic requirements: 1) it must incorporate and respect effective labor standards; 2) it cannot have arbitrary numerical limits on the number of employment-based visa applicants; and 3) there should be no limit on the number of years a worker can renew their visas and remain in the United States. These three factors represent the paradigm of immigration laws for the future.

The arbitrary numerical limits to employment-based visas have no place in contemporary immigration laws. For instance, between 2004 and 2008, several thousand H-1B petitions were rejected because of the numerical cap. These applications were not reviewed, and the applicants apparently had to go elsewhere for employment. Those whose applications were accepted were subjected to a random selection system. This is not the way to remain competitive. The affected employers are forced to move those jobs to countries where immigration is not an impediment to productivity or lose workers to other competing countries.

The employment-based immigration system should be seen as one of the most important elements in U.S. economic development. The employment-based immigration system, particularly the H-1B program, should be viewed “not merely as an immigration policy, but rather as a new paradigm of economic and labor policy.” Just as other countries are moving away from immigration systems with artificial restrictions on the movement of labor, so must the United States. If adequate labor protections are in place, employers should be free to seek out, hire, and retain skilled foreign workers in the United States to continue the country’s competitive edge in the global economy.
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