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I. INTRODUCTION

First peoples and tribal groups often struggle to protect their rights to land. In Brazil, this is the struggle of the Quilombolas. The Quilombolas are descendants of slaves who freed themselves and established their own territory in Brazil. Almost four hundred years after they first began to establish their own territory, they finally secured their definitive legal right to the land they currently occupy. Even though this right was written in the Constituição of 1988 under the specific commands of article 68 of the Act of the Transitory Constitutional Dispositions (ADCT 68), this right to land is hardly enforced.

In contrast to ADCT 68, Brazil’s recent land reform law—Lei No. 11.952— is being enforced at full force and is already producing impressive results. Questions inevitably arise as to why Lei No. 11.952—which provides legal commands that are not directly under the Constituição—is successful and ADCT—that imposes a clear constitutional command—is not.

This paper will propose that the ADCT is not being enforced because of a series of laws and legal proceedings that hinder its implementation.

Serious questions exist, however, as to the constitutionality of Lei No. 11.952. Lei No. 11.952 is currently being challenged in Brazil’s Federal Supreme Court. In her Direct Action of Unconstitutionality (ADI), Brazil’s General Prosecutor of the Republic argued that Lei No. 11.952, among other issues, threatens Quilombolas land rights. She argued that Lei No. 11.952 seems to suggest that Quilombolas territory can be regularized in favor of third parties.

In addition to imparting definitive land rights to the Quilombolas, the Constituição also seeks to protect and promote the cultural and sustainable practices of the Quilombolas. But title to land under Lei No. 11.952 is contrary to these principles because it leads to the fragmentation of the Quilombolas communities. Given the aggressive economic forces that
have strong interests in their land, Quilombolas may cede their title to land to these forces. The eventual fragmentation of their communities ultimately affects the development of the culture and sustainable practices.

In view of the disadvantages that Lei No. 11.952 creates, and the government’s failure to enforce the Quilombolas rights under the law, this work also advocates for a greater participation of lawyers to ensure that the Quilombolas have their day in Court so the ADCT can be effectively carried out. The need to take legal action comes during an opportune time: Brazil has recently passed two decrees that create an incentive for the Quilombolas to maintain a collective title to land and the development of their cultural and sustainable practices.

Part II of this work gives a background on the scenario where all of this is taking place: the Amazônia Legal. Part III discusses Quilombolas rights to land under various laws, and how the laws strive to protect and promote their culture; it also explains why these laws have not been substantially enforced. Part IV explains the development of Lei No. 11.952 in view of Quilombolas rights. Part V demonstrates how Lei No. 11.952 disregards the Constituição and international instruments. Part VI highlights the need Quilombolas have for legal assistance, and demonstrates how with increased legal representation, the Quilombolas can succeed in enforcing their already existing rights under the law.

II. THE AMAZÔNIA LEGAL, ITS ENVIRONMENT, AND ITS PEOPLES

A. The Amazônia Legal

Lei No. 11.952 operates exclusively within the Amazônia Legal region. The term “Amazônia Legal” refers to the region of Northern Brazil that is often the target of government-led development policies. In 1953, Lei 1.806 was the first law to define the Amazônia Legal
region. Lei 1.806 instituted special economic policies for the states of Amazonas and Pará, the then-federal territories of Acre, Amapá, Guaporé, and Rio Branco, and part of the states of Mato Grosso, Góias, and Maranhão. Complementary law (CL) No. 124 defines the current Amazônia Legal geopolitical arrangement. CL No. 124 established that Lei No. 11.952 should apply to the states of Acre, Amapá, Amazonas, Mato Grosso, Rondônia, Roraima, Tocantins, Pará, and part of the state of Maranhão.

The Amazônia Legal is of extreme importance to Brazil and the world because at least 60 percent of the Amazon Forest is in the region. The Amazon Forest contains hundreds of trillions of tons of carbon. Its vegetal coverage releases roughly seven trillion tons of water into the atmosphere through evaporation, and its rivers release 20 percent of all water that is disposed by rivers into the ocean. In addition, Brazil’s Amazon Forest contains the world’s richest biodiversity, which provides for the socio-economic development of the people in the region. It is essential to human progress because it provides resources for the development of important medicines and for products derived from sustainable economic practices. The Amazon Forest hosts many groups of first peoples, and traditional peoples whose economic practices embody the fundamental principles of sustainable development.

B. Expanding Businesses and Their Unsustainable Practices

Recently, new businesses have expanded their agricultural practices into Amazônia Legal territory. These practices consist mostly of soy production, but corn, rice, and cotton are also produced. Another powerful industry in the Amazônia Legal region is cattle ranching, which has grown considerably since the 1990s. These practices substituted the once prosperous rubber industries and once more predominant timber and mineral extraction industries.
An important issue in the soy and cattle industries is their impact on the environment. These practices devastate the rich biodiversity of the Amazon Forest, and contribute to global warming as they clear the forest through slash and burn techniques to create space for their economic practices. Slash and burn is estimated to be responsible for more than 70 percent of Brazil’s and 20 percent of the world’s carbon dioxide emissions. These economic practices further contribute to global warming because deforestation reduces the Amazon Forest’s vital capacity to absorb carbon dioxide emissions.

C. The Quilombolas and Their Sustainable Practices

In addition to these industries, there are also many Quilombolas in the Amazônia Legal. The Quilombolas are descendants of the slaves that freed themselves to form their own territory in places of difficult access called Quilombos, far from urban centers. During the Transatlantic slave trade, roughly fifteen million people were taken from Africa to the Americas, of which six million were taken to Brazil. Of these, at least twenty-five thousand were brought to the Amazônia Legal region either directly from Africa or through internal trade in Brazil. Though they were free in practice, the Quilombolas were only free under the law upon the passage of Lei Áurea in May 13, 1888, making Brazil the last country in the Americas to abolish slavery. Today, there are up to five thousand Quilombolas communities in Brazil. Data does not reveal the precise number of Quilombolas communities in the Amazônia Legal. However, there are accounts of Quilombolas communities in all but two of the Amazônia Legal states. To have a better idea of how many communities there may be, in the state of Pará alone there are at least 240 Quilombolas communities.

The Quilombolas are of particular importance to the environment because of their sustainable practices. Because of these practices, the Quilombolas can be referred to as the
keepers of the Amazon Forest. For example, the Quilombolas have found subsistence through the many food products they were able to cultivate and extract from the Amazon Forest. The more the sustainable practices of the Quilombolas and that of other groups with similar practices are understood, practiced, and diffused, the more the need to preserve the Amazon Forest will be recognized. Coming to this consciousness and promoting it means that the Amazon Forest will continue to host its rich biodiversity, to exercise its crucial biological functions, to provide subsistence to those who live there, and to provide the resources needed by those who live outside of it.

III. QUILOMBOLAS AND THE LAW

A. Quilombolas Right to Land

The Quilombolas affirmative right to land was established in 1988 under Brazil’s current Constituição in the form of an ADCT. The ADCTs were created to complete Brazil’s transition from the constitutional regime of 1967 to the regime of the Constituição of 1988. They were designed to solve specific issues. ADCT 68 was created to recognize the Quilombolas definitive right to property.

The inclusion of ADCT 68 to the Constituição was in great part the result of the efforts of the Black Movement that pressed that the right to land be recognized as an essential human right of all Brazilians. This affirmative right to land is necessary to the Quilombolas because it attempts to subvert some of the severe setbacks created by slavery. Because the Quilombolas are significantly deprived from access to the legal system, the importance of ADCT 68 cannot be overemphasized.
Though ADCT 68 is supposed to be straightforward,\textsuperscript{80} conflicts arise as to its precise meaning.\textsuperscript{81} It is certain, however, that they are entitled to a collective and inalienable right to land.\textsuperscript{82} ADCT 68 reads, “The definitive right of property of the remnants of the communities of [Q]uilombos that are occupying their lands is recognized, and it is the State’s duty to issue their respective titles.”\textsuperscript{83} Domestic legislation carrying out ADCT 68 and legal experts overwhelmingly support that “definitive property” means that they are entitled to the inalienable title to that land.\textsuperscript{84} There is also a general understanding that the “remnants of communities of quilombos” refers to collective communities of Quilombolas that were formed by the first Quilombolas.\textsuperscript{85}

International law instruments also support the Quilombolas collective and inalienable title to land. The International Labor Organization\textsuperscript{86} Convention Concerning Indigenous and Tribal Peoples in Independent Countries (ILO No. 169) establishes the Quilombolas’ right of self-identification and recognizes their title to land as an essential right.\textsuperscript{87} ILO No. 169 considers land important because of its relationship with the community’s cultural development.\textsuperscript{88} This cultural development is, in turn, a source of human cooperation and understanding.\textsuperscript{89} Cultural development is also directly tied to economic development;\textsuperscript{90} and because the Quilombolas economic practices are sustainable, their culture is intrinsically connected to ecological harmony.\textsuperscript{91}

**B. Quilombolas and the Imperative of Culture**

The Brazilian Constituição recognizes the importance of the Quilombolas culture.\textsuperscript{92} Article 215 charges the government with the duty to protect the cultural manifestations of Afro-Brazilians.\textsuperscript{93} Article 215 also establishes the National Plan of Culture\textsuperscript{94}, which seeks to protect and promote the Brazilian cultural patrimony.\textsuperscript{95} Article 216 defines cultural patrimony as “material and
immaterial assets” that are the vehicle of the “identity, action, and memory of the different groups that form Brazilian society.”

Quilombolas lands are directly tied to the notion of cultural patrimony. Decreto No. 4.887 further provides that the Palmares Cultural Foundation “must institute the process for purposes of [registration, protection] and preservation of the [B]razilian cultural patrimony.” It also states that upon completion of the title registration process the “documents and the sites retaining the remnant history of the old quilombos . . . .” shall be “communicated to theIPHAN.”

The Quilombolas culture gains additional recognition under UNESCO’s Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions, and UNESCO’s Universal Declaration on Cultural Diversity. The Declaration on Diversity defines culture as essential to human dignity, and advocates for its “wide diffusion.” Culture is defined as art, literature, a way of life, and encompassing “value systems, traditions and beliefs.”

Culture is beneficial to the Quilombolas and to those outside their communities. It establishes a basis for peace and security in our world, and it benefits current and future generations. It also provides a basis for “creative capacities that sustain public life,” and a “more satisfactory intellectual, emotional, moral, and spiritual existence.”

The Convention on Cultural Expressions further develops these principles and demonstrates why the progress of the Quilombolas culture is so important to those outside their communities. It recognizes cultural diversity as a “defining characteristic of humanity.” Culture constitutes humanity’s common heritage and therefore “should be cherished and preserved for the benefit of all.” It benefits humanity because it increases our ranges of choices and nurtures our capacities and values, and “therefore is a mainspring” for the
sustainable development of our communities.\textsuperscript{112} As important, culture is also valuable because it enhances “the status and role of women” in society.\textsuperscript{113}

**C. Problems with Enforcement**

Though their right to land under the Constituição was secured more than twenty years ago,\textsuperscript{114} under a legal command that was clear and specific,\textsuperscript{115} enforcement of this right remains a priority to many Quilombolas communities today.\textsuperscript{116} Since 1988, only ninety-six Quilombolas territories obtained title to land.\textsuperscript{117} According to some estimates, this number represents roughly 3 percent of the Quilombolas communities in Brazil.\textsuperscript{118} As troubling is the fact that, recently, title registration rates have decreased.\textsuperscript{119}

A background about the application of ADCT 68 explains in part why it has been difficult to enforce it. Enforcement of ADCT 68 only began in 1995 through INCRA’s administrative rule\textsuperscript{120}\textsuperscript{307}.\textsuperscript{121} It was not until 1999 that an institution, the Palmares Cultural Foundation, was directly charged with the enforcement of ADCT 68.\textsuperscript{122} Provisional measure\textsuperscript{123} (MP) 1.911 explicitly entrusted the Ministry of Culture\textsuperscript{124} (MinC) with the capacity to enforce the ADCT.\textsuperscript{125} In the same year it was granted this power, the MinC delegated its power to the Palmares Cultural Foundation.\textsuperscript{126} The power to enforce the ADCT was granted to the Palmares Cultural Foundation eleven years after it was created.\textsuperscript{127}

After 2001, the government passed two decrees to carry out ADCT 68. The first decree, Decreto No. 3.912, was problematic because it severely impaired the Quilombolas ability to acquire title to land.\textsuperscript{128} It required that the Quilombolas prove that they occupied the land in 1888 and in 1988.\textsuperscript{129} The time-frame requirement was justified on the basis that 1888 was the date that slavery ended and 1988 the date that the Constituição was instituted.\textsuperscript{130} The Quilombolas and their advocates perceived this provision as arbitrary.\textsuperscript{131} Because of this
arbitrary provision, and its incompatibility with the ILO Convention No. 169 self-identification requirement, Decreto No. 4.887 revoked Decreto No. 3.912.\textsuperscript{132}

While Decreto No. 4.887 was well received by the Quilombolas,\textsuperscript{133} others did not react in the same way. Decreto No. 4.887 was favorable to the Quilombolas as it carried out ADCT 68,\textsuperscript{134} and respected their right of self-identification.\textsuperscript{135} On the other hand, critics of Decreto No. 4.887 disagreed with the very points that benefited the Quilombolas. In 2003, ADI No. 3.239 challenged the constitutionality of Decreto No. 4.887.\textsuperscript{136} Some legislative actions tried to suspend Decreto No. 4.887,\textsuperscript{137} while others tried to alter its effect\textsuperscript{138} or replace it in its entirety.\textsuperscript{139} Even the news media that is supposed to be neutral systematically criticized Decreto No. 4887.\textsuperscript{140} While these direct attacks against the Quilombolas met serious resistance and did not go too far,\textsuperscript{141} a more subtle but effective administrative rule hindered the Quilombolas’ ability to acquire land.\textsuperscript{142} INCRA’s administrative rule No. 49 made title registration process more burdensome and bureaucratic.\textsuperscript{143} In 2009, INCRA’s administrative rule No. 56 substituted and revoked administrative rule No 49.\textsuperscript{144} Just thirteen days after administrative rule No. 56 passed, administrative rule No. 57 came into force and reinstated its terms.\textsuperscript{145}

The delay in granting the Palmares Cultural Foundation powers to carry out the ADCT explains in part why its implementation has not been successful.\textsuperscript{146} Conflicting laws and regulations that at times favor but other times hinder the Quilombolas ability to obtain title to land, also explain this lack of success. Even if Decreto No. 4.887 endures the ADI No. 3.239, enforcement of the ADCT remains uncertain unless serious legal actions are taken to secure Quilombolas rights.\textsuperscript{147}
IV. LEI NO. 11.952

A. Basic Facts

Lei No. 11.952 will regulate 67 million hectares of land,\textsuperscript{148} which is the equivalent to 13.42 percent of the Amazônia Legal territory.\textsuperscript{149} This area is roughly the size of the state of Texas.\textsuperscript{150} The INCRA estimated that three-hundred thousand families will benefit from this land reform measure.\textsuperscript{151}

Before it became law, Lei No. 11.952 went through two related but distinct legislative phases. In the first phase, Lei No. 11.952 was a provisional measure.\textsuperscript{152} The second phase, which took an opposite direction from MP No. 458, was the legislative process of becoming a law, commonly referred to as project of conversion of law (PLV).\textsuperscript{153}

B. Lei No. 11.952 as a Provisional Measure, a Project of Conversion of Law, and as Law

MP No. 458’s purpose was to solve three issues in the Amazônia Legal: judicial instability that permitted the illegal possession of land, conflicts over land, and the advancement of deforestation.\textsuperscript{154} To that end, it sought to speed up regularization of public rural areas and the distribution of part of federal lands located in urban areas.\textsuperscript{155} It also aimed to improve the ability of Brazil’s Ministry of Agrarian Development (MDA)\textsuperscript{156} and the INCRA\textsuperscript{157} to perform these functions.\textsuperscript{158}

MP No. 458 was beneficial to the Quilombolas. It expressly protected their land from regulation by third parties.\textsuperscript{159} In doing so it gave the Quilombolas a de facto right to land since it prohibited others from occupying their land. By default, the Quilombolas would become the primary owners of their land. This de facto right, though not the best option, gave the
Quilombolas some sense of ownership over the land they occupy, particularly in light of the fact that their rights under the ADCT 68 are barely enforced.

However, after MP No. 458 was issued, Brazil’s Congress removed the provision that protected Quilombolas land from regulation by third parties. It also added a new section that potentially permitted the regularization of their land by third parties. Congress removed MP’s explicit protection because, as demonstrated in the travaux préparatoires, it wanted to regularize Quilombolas land.

On June 25, 2009, President Luis Inácio “Lula” da Silva vetoed parts of the Congress’s PLV, but he did not alter any provisions corresponding to Quilombolas land rights. As such, Article 4, section 2 only expressly protected lands belonging to the First Peoples. Article 4, section 2 also provided that regularization of Quilombolas and traditional people’s territory could be granted under the terms of the law so long as specific legislation so permits.

V. LEI NO. 11.952 AND ITS DISREGARD OF THE LAW

A. Lei No. 11.952 in the Supreme Federal Tribunal

Less than two weeks after the Lei 11.952 became law, Brazil’s General Prosecutor, Deborah Duprat, challenged its constitutionality in Brazil’s highest appellate court. She argued that Lei No. 11.952 infringed on the land rights of traditional peoples and the Quilombolas, threatened the environment, and favored people who illegally obtained land and engaged in illegal activities in the Amazônia Legal. With respect to the Quilombolas, she requested that the Court interpret Article 4, section 2 of Lei No. 11.952 in a way that prohibits the regularization of Quilombolas territory in favor of third parties.
Her request rested on two premises. First, MP No. 458 expressly protected the Quilombolas, but the current version of Lei No. 11.952 does not do so.\(^{168}\) This modification, the General Prosecutor stated, “seemed to suggest that that lands occupied by Quilombolas and traditional communities can be regularized in favor of third parties.”\(^{169}\) Second, the General Prosecutor argued that the Quilombolas rights to land are well settled under domestic law and international law.\(^{170}\) To that end, she compared the Constituição with the ILO Convention No. 169, the Declaration on Diversity, and the Convention on Cultural Expressions.\(^ {171}\)

The General Advocates of the Union\(^{172}\) disagreed with this and other points raised by the General Prosecutor.\(^{173}\) The Supreme Court has not stated when it will rule on these issues. It may be years before it reaches a final decision on this matter.

**B. Lei No. 11.952 and Its Disregard of ADCT 68**

Lei No. 11.952 also suggests that the regularization of land by the Quilombolas themselves is permissible, because they can meet the requisites under Lei 11.952, and because specific regulations addressing Quilombolas rights do not provide otherwise.\(^{174}\) However, this is in disaccord with the Constituição.\(^{175}\) While title to land under Lei No. 11.952 is individual and alienable,\(^{176}\) title to land under ADCT 68 is collective and inalienable.\(^{177}\)

Brazil’s Black Movement and the drafters of the Constituição understood the need for a collective and inalienable title to land; that is why the collective, and inalienable—and not the individual and alienable—title to land was provided for in the text of ADCT 68.\(^ {178}\) Legal scholars and laws passed addressing Quilombola rights also support this view.\(^ {179}\)

A practical problem exists when the current situation of the Quilombolas is taken into account. Because they have been deprived of their right to land under the Constituição,\(^{180}\) title to land under Lei No. 11.952, though not the best option, may nonetheless become a reality. Unlike
the ADCT, the government is committed to enforcing Lei No. 11.952.\textsuperscript{181} This is beneficial to the extent that the MDA can effectuate title registration as provided under Decreto No. 6.813.\textsuperscript{182} But given the history of title registration under the ADCT,\textsuperscript{183} and the fact that Lei No. 11.952 will grant title to land within four months after the petition for registration is made,\textsuperscript{184} the individual Quilombola may favor regulation under Lei No. 11.952 over the ADCT.

Nothing in current Quilombolas legislation addressing Quilombolas land rights would prevent this from happening. ILO Convention No. 169 may even support such initiative by the Quilombolas. Article 7, paragraph 1 gives the Quilombolas independence and control over their own affairs.\textsuperscript{185} Even so, the Quilombolas choice for regularization under the terms of Lei No. 11.952 is not due to their own free choice, but rather heavily influenced by their inability to obtain land under ADCT. The individual and alienable title to land will have serious implications on Quilombolas land rights in the long term. In the long-term, Lei No. 11.952 will subject the Quilombolas to the aggressive real estate market in the region. Ultimately, this may result in the fragmentation of the Quilombolas communities as they begin to cede their territory to these forces. The peoples of the region, the environment, and those outside of it cannot afford this risk.

C. Lei No. 11.952 and its Disregard of Articles 215 and 216 of the Constituição, and International Law

In permitting the alienable and individual title to land, Lei No. 11.952 also derogates constitutional principles and international instruments that protect and promote culture and sustainable development.\textsuperscript{186} Because title to land under Lei No. 11.952 can ultimately result in the fragmentation of their communities, the preservation and progress of their culture will suffer. Domestic and international law have demonstrated that there is a deep connection between land
ownership and the preservation of culture. This connection applies to the Quilombolas as well. Thus, Lei No. 11.952 is in violation of article 215 of the Constituição that entrusts the government with the duty to support and encourage the progress of their culture. Furthermore, the land the Quilombolas occupy is the physical foundation of the cultural practices of the Quilombolas. As such, it is closely connected to the precepts of Article 216 as the land arguably becomes the vehicle of their identity. Decreto No. 4.887 supports this view as it deems Quilombolas land a “cultural patrimony” once title registration is complete.

The fragmentation of the Quilombolas community is also contrary to international instruments. Lei No. 11.952 defeats the purpose of ILO Convention No. 169 because it circumscribes a goal of the Convention: to provide for the development of culture. For the same reason, it also is in disaccord with the Convention on the Protection of Diversity and the Declaration on Diversity.

As these international law instruments demonstrate, the plight of the Quilombolas ultimately affects us all. If sustainable cultural practices cease to develop, we will cease to understand important practices. Our knowledge of the food, medicine, and other works the Quilombolas create, will cease to expand. This ultimately prevents us from advancing our understanding of the opportunities for sustainable development available in the Amazon Forest and its natural resources.

VI. THE NEED TO WORK THE LAW TO MAKE IT JUST

A. How Lei No. 11.952 Exposes Brazil’s Disregard of the Quilombolas

Lei 11.952 also exposes the government’s lack of commitment to the Quilombolas and the laws that support their rights. Lei No. 11.952—a law that is not of a constitutional nature—is
already being implemented at full speed,\textsuperscript{193} while the ADCT—that is of a constitutional nature and was passed more than twenty years ago in response to the harms slavery created\textsuperscript{194}—is hardly implemented.\textsuperscript{195}

It is problematic that the Quilombolas are more likely to obtain title to land under the terms of Lei No. 11.952 than under ADCT 68.\textsuperscript{196} This demonstrates a serious disregard for the Quilombolas, established constitutional principles, and international law instruments. The Constituição, as the highest law of the land, should be respected and enforced. Especially when it is a clear and specific command that responds to past injustices to the Quilombolas like ADCT 68.\textsuperscript{197} International law instruments that espouse key principles for peace, understanding, and preservation of the environment are also disregarded.\textsuperscript{198}

This apparent discrepancy between the government’s lack of commitment to enforce ADCT 68 in view of the government’s willingness to enforce Lei No. 11.952, demonstrates that it is possible for the government to take action and secure the Quilombolas land rights under ADCT 68.

B. The Need for Legal Representation and the Role of Lawyers

According to Brazil’s Minister of Racial Equality Edson Santos, among the historically excluded groups in Brazilian society, the Quilombolas represent the group with the least access to legal services.\textsuperscript{199} This explains in part why the Quilombolas are unable to secure their definitive right to land even though ADCT 68 was passed in the late 1980s. But with enough legal support, in cooperation with key organizations that are already dedicated to their cause,\textsuperscript{200} the Quilombolas can turn their right to definitive property into a reality.

The United Nations’s Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers recognizes the importance of lawyers to the Quilombolas plight for land.\textsuperscript{201} The Principles on the Role of Lawyers
recognizes the right to legal services as necessary for the adequate protection of human rights.\textsuperscript{202} It also recognizes the important role that lawyers play in securing these rights.\textsuperscript{203} The Principles on the Role of Lawyers highlights that “special attention” should be given to groups who would otherwise lack access to lawyers to protect their rights.\textsuperscript{204}

As Santos noted, lawyers can make a great impact in the Quilombolas struggle for land. Encouraging data suggests that generally, once the Quilombolas take their claims to court, they usually prevail.\textsuperscript{205} This is not a surprise. The Quilombolas right to land is founded on principles of justice, Brazil’s own Constituição, and international instruments to which it is a party.\textsuperscript{206} These laws provide for a definitive right to land, and for the progress of their culture and sustainable practices.\textsuperscript{207} Lawyers are therefore equipped with compelling legal and public policy arguments to challenge agencies, regulations, and laws that hinder the application of ADCT 68.

C. An Opportune Time for Action

Lawyers who join the cause will do so during an opportune time. Two relevant Decretos support the Quilombolas cause. First, Decreto No. 6.040 of February 2007 instituted the National Plan of Sustainable Development of the Traditional Peoples and Communities.\textsuperscript{208, 209} The Plan of Sustainable Development recognizes their land as essential for the development of their culture.\textsuperscript{210} It also recognizes the Quilombolas as a group of people living under a traditional territory.\textsuperscript{211} The Decreto defines sustainable development as “the balanced use of the natural resources, directed toward the betterment of the quality of life of present generations, guaranteeing the same possibilities [for] future generations.” The main goal of the Plan of Sustainable Development is to promote the sustainable development of the traditional peoples,\textsuperscript{212} including the Quilombolas.\textsuperscript{213} To that end, the Plan of Sustainable Development seeks to secure the Quilombolas collective right to land,\textsuperscript{214} and to strengthen their communities.\textsuperscript{215}
Brazil’s racial equality decree of June 2009 adds even more momentum to the enforcement of the Quilombolas right to land.\textsuperscript{216} Decreto No. 6.872 promotes the sustainable development of the Quilombolas;\textsuperscript{217} public politics to support them;\textsuperscript{218} title registration;\textsuperscript{219} the protection of their land;\textsuperscript{220} the preservation of the environmental, cultural, material, and immaterial patrimony of the Quilombolas;\textsuperscript{221} and the study of their socio economic status.\textsuperscript{222} It also seeks to increase public assistance, and support their productive activities, with a focus on a “diversified production” and commercialization.\textsuperscript{223} It aims to stimulate the study of their culture\textsuperscript{224} and to stimulate a cultural exchange between the Quilombolas from Brazil with the communities in Africa.\textsuperscript{225} Lastly, it provides for the incentive of the sustainable management of the Quilombola land.\textsuperscript{226}

These decrees and their encouraging provisions reiterate the notion that the Quilombolas right to land is collective and that it needs to be definitive for the preservation of their culture and sustainable practices. They also demonstrate that the Quilombolas are gaining more visibility in national scenario and are securing laws that further their cause. With proper legal representation the Quilombolas will acquire their definitive title to property and continue to develop their sustainable economic and cultural practices to their own benefit and to those outside their communities.
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